

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: JUUL LABS, INC., MARKETING, SALES  
PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY  
LITIGATION

MDL No. 2913

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

**CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -94)**

On October 2, 2019, the Panel transferred 5 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 396 F.Supp.3d 1366 (J.P.M.L. 2019). Since that time, 302 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of California. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable William H. Orrick, III.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of California and assigned to Judge Orrick.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of California for the reasons stated in the order of October 2, 2019, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable William H. Orrick, III.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.
Nov 16, 2022
CLERK'S OFFICE UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:



John W. Nichols  
Clerk of the Panel

**IN RE: JUUL LABS, INC., MARKETING, SALES  
PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY  
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2913

**SCHEDULE CTO-94 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS**

<b><u>DIST</u></b>	<b><u>DIV.</u></b>	<b><u>C.A.NO.</u></b>	<b><u>CASE CAPTION</u></b>
<b>OREGON</b>			
OR	3	22-01671	School District No. 40, Yamhill County, Oregon v. JUUL Labs, Inc. et al
OR	3	22-01687	School District No. 1, Yamhill County, Oregon v. JUUL Labs, Inc. et al
OR	3	22-01695	School District No. 30J v. JUUL Labs, Inc. et al
OR	6	22-01670	School District No. 5, Marion County, Oregon v. JUUL Labs, Inc. et al
OR	6	22-01672	School District No. 2J, Deschutes County, Oregon v. JUUL Labs, Inc. et al
OR	6	22-01683	School District No. 45, Marion County, Oregon v. JUUL Labs, Inc. et al
OR	6	22-01686	School District No. 91, Marion County, Oregon v. JUUL Labs, Inc. et al
OR	6	22-01693	School District No. 15, Marion County, Oregon v. JUUL Labs, Inc. et al
OR	6	22-01710	School District No. 1, Deschutes County, Oregon v. JUUL Labs, Inc. et al
OR	6	22-01713	School District No. 14J, Marion County, Oregon v. JUUL Labs, Inc. et al
<b>PENNSYLVANIA WESTERN</b>			
PAW	2	22-01537	HOMER-CENTER SCHOOL DISTRICT v. JUUL LABS, INC. et al
PAW	2	22-01569	FREEPORT AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT v. JUUL LABS, INC. et al